

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/705,792	11/06/2000	Toshiaki Kashihara	Q61526	6031
. 75	12/09/2002			
Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas 2100 Pennsylvania Ave NW Washington, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			TAMAI, KARL I	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			DATE MAIL ED. 12/00/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/705,792	KASHIHARA ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Tamai IE Karl	2834		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 S</u>	entember 2002			
2a)□		s action is non-final.			
3)□	,		osecution as to the merits is		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 11-19</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 11-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application	on Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/705,792

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Cheetham et al. (Cheetham)(US 3,538,362). Cheetham discloses every element of the applicant's invention including the diodes 70, 72 mounted on bases 88,89 which are mounted on surfaces which are parallel to the principle surfaces of the cooling plate. The area of the bases 88, 89 are the same as the area diodes 70, 72 and the area of the AC terminal 62 is greater than the area of the diodes terminals 70a and 72a.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheetham, in further view of Einthoven (US 5,950,068). Kawano and Gautier teaches every aspect of the invention except the mesa diffusion element made using n-silicon and the dimension of

Application/Control Number: 09/705,792

Art Unit: 2834

the base, cathode, and anode. Einthoven teaches a n-silicon mesa diffusion diode for a rectifier. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the generator of Cheetham with the diodes of Einthoven to provide an rectifier with improved breakdown voltage.

- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheetham, in further view of Mori et al.(Mori) (US 5,8728,564). Cheetham teaches every aspect of the invention except the junction between the base/cooling plate larger than the junction between the base/diode. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the generator of Cheetham with the junction between the base/cooling plate larger than the junction between the base/diode, as in Mori, to provide good seating between the base and the cooling plate.
- 6. Claims 6, 11, 13, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheetham, in further view of Kawano (JP 5-176,539). Cheetham teaches every aspect of the invention except the AC terminal being bent, positioned outside the fan, and extends radially outward. Kawano teaches the AC output extending radially and being bent to position the terminal in the cooling path of the fan. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the generator of Cheetham with the bent terminal of Kawano to cool the terminal.

Application/Control Number: 09/705,792 Page 4

Art Unit: 2834

- 7. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheetham, in further view of Abadia et al.(Abadia)(US 5,883,450). Cheetham teaches every aspect of the invention except the bases are soldered into the cooling plates. Abadia teaches diodes being press fit, welded, or soldered to the cooling plates. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the generator of Cheetham with the bases solder to the cooling plates to provide a more secure mechanical connection, and because it choosing between know equivalents requires only routine skill in the art, as shown by Abadia soldering an press fitting are equivalent connections in the rectifier art.
- 8. Claims 12 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheetham, in further view of Deverall et al. (Deverall) (US 5,451,823). Cheetham teaches every aspect of the invention except the cooling plates extending radially inward with a large Deverall teaches(figure 10) the cooling plates extending inward with a large number of cooling holes(410,410, and 412). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the generator of Cheetham with the holes in the cooling plates because Deverall teaches aligned ventilators to cool the plates 200 and 5
- 9. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheetham, in further view of Yoshinaga et al. (Yoshinaga) (US 5,886,403). Cheetham teaches every aspect of the invention except having inorganic calcined product. Yoshinaga teaches that th includes inorganic silica or alumina but not necessarily Al203 or Si02(calcined). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

Application/Control Number: 09/705,792

Art Unit: 2834

Page 5

construct the generator of Cheetham with the resin having inorganic particles to provide suitable internal pressure as taught by Yoshinaga, and with the particle being Al203 or Si02 because they are know insulators in the rectifier art and Yoshinaga

suggests the particles are alumina or silica.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 and 11-19 have been

considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Karl I.E. Tamai whose telephone number is (703)

305-7066.

The examiner can be normally contacted on Monday through Friday from 8:00

am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Mr. Nestor Ramirez, can be reached at (703) 308-1371. The

facsimile number for the Group is (703) 305-3432.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0956.

Karl I Tamai

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

December 5, 2002

KARL TAMAI

PRIMARY EXAMINER